Operator: AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY	Operator ID#: 32513
Inspection Date(s): 3/10/2015 Man Days: 1	
Inspection Unit: Eden Storage	·
Location of Audit: Sparta/Eden Storage	
Exit Meeting Contact:	
Inspection Type: Standard Inspection - Record Audit	
Pipeline Safety Representative(s): Valerie Schwing	
Company Representative to Receive Report: Michael Fuller	
Company Representative's Email Address: mfuller2@ameren.com	

Headquarters Address Information:	300 Liberty	
	Peoria, IL 61602	
	Emergency Phone#: (800) 755-5000	
	Fax#:	
Official or Mayor's Name:	Ron Pate	
	Phone#: (217) 424-6518	
	Email:	
Inspection Contact(s)	Title	Phone No.
Chuck Tebbe	Quality Assurance	

Gas Sys	tem Operations	Status
Gas Transporter		Ameren
Miles of Main		2.44
Confirm Operator's Potential Impact Radius Calcula	ations	See below
General Comment: Staff reviewed the 2013 and 2014 PIR calculations for the 2.375	5, 3.5, and 6.625 inch diameter pipe with a MAOP of 400 PSI.	
Annual Report (Form 7100.2.1) reviewed for the ye	ar:	2014
Regulatory	Reporting Records	Status
[191.5]	Were Telephonic Notices of Incidents reported to the NRC (800-424-8802)?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		

[191.15(a)]	Was a DOT Incident Report Form F7100.2 submitted within 30 days after detection of an incident?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	mami do dayo anti dotostor or arrinolatini.	
The operator did not experience any incidents for the car	llendar years 2013 and 2014.	
[191.15(b)]	Were there any supplemental incident reports when deemed necessary?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	<u> </u>	•
The operator did not experience any incidents for the call	lendar years 2013 and 2014.	
[191.23(a)]	Did the operator report Safety Related Conditions?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		•
The operator did not experience any safety related cond	litions in 2013 and 2014.	
[191.25]	Did the Operator file a Safety Related Condition Report within 5 working days of determination, but not later than 10 working days after discovery?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		I
The operator did not experience any safety related cond	litions in 2013 and 2014.	
[192.16(c)]	Customer Notification: Has the operator notified each customer after the customer first receives gas at a particular location?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	P P	l
The operator does not have any customers only one fam	m tap that serves the Ameren gas powered rectifier.	
	DRUG TESTING	Status
Refer to Drug and Alcohol Inspection Forms	and Protocols	Not Checked
General Comment:		1
The operator's Drug and Alcohol records are kept at the	St. Louis operating center and will be reviewed at a later date.	
TES	ST REQUIREMENTS	Status
	11(c)] Are pressure test records being maintained for piping	Satisfactory
[192.517(a)][192.505,192.507,192.509,192.5	operating above 100 psig?	
[192.517(a)][192.505,192.507,192.509,192.5 <u>General Comment:</u>	operating above 100 psig?	
General Comment:	ducted in 2013 for 75 feet of 6 inch X42 pipe with a wall thickness of 0.280 inches.	
General Comment:		Not Applicable

The operator has not installed any pipeline that op	erates below 100 PSI in 2013 or 2014.	
	UPRATING	Status
Category Comment:		
The operator has not uprated the pipeline.		
[192.555][192.555]	Has the operator maintained documentation of uprating activities when uprating a pipe to a pressure that will produce a hoop stress of 30% or more SMYS?	Not Applicable
[192.557][192.557]	Has the operator maintained documentation of uprating activities when uprating a pipe to a pressure that will produce a hoop stress of less than 30% SMYS?	Not Applicable
	OPERATIONS	Status
Category Comment:		
Staff did not review the operation records because	these records are kept at the Pawnee operating center.	
[192.603(b)][192.605(a)]	Has the operator conducted a review of the Operations and Maintenance Manual once per yr/15 months?	Not Checked
Has the operator conducted a review of the Operator Qualification Plan once per yr/15 months?		Not Checked
[192.603(b)][192.605(b)(3)]	Are construction records, maps, and operating history available to operating personnel?	Not Checked
[192.603(b)][192.605(b)(8)]	Has the operator periodically reviewed personnel's work to determine the effectiveness of normal O&M procedures when deficiencies are found?	Not Checked
[192.603(b)][192.605(c)(1)(i)]	Does the operator maintain documentation for responding to, investigating, and correcting the cause of unintended closure of valves or shutdowns?	Not Checked
[192.603(b)][192.605(c)(1)(ii)]	Does the operator maintain documentation for responding to, investigating, and correcting the cause of increase or decrease in pressure or flow rate outside normal operating limits?	Not Checked
[192.603(b)][192.605(c)(1)(iii)]	Does the operator maintain documentation for responding to, investigating, and correcting the cause of loss of communications?	Not Checked
[192.603(b)][192.605(c)(1)(iv)]	Does the operator maintain documentation for responding to, investigating, and correcting the cause of operation of any safety device?	Not Checked
[192.603(b)][192.605(c)(1)(v)]	Does the operator maintain documentation for responding to, investigating, and correcting the cause of any other foreseeable malfunction of a component, deviation from normal operation, or personnel error which may result in a hazard to persons or property?	Not Checked

		Г
[192.603(b)][192.605(c)(2)]	Does the operator maintain documentation of checking variations from normal operation after abnormal operation has ended at sufficient critical locations in the system to determine continued integrity and safe operation?	Not Checked
[192.603(b)][192.605(c)(3)]	Does the operator maintain documentation of notifying responsible operator personnel when notice of an abnormal operation is received?	Not Checked
[192.603(b)][192.605(c)(4)]	Does the operator maintain documentation for periodically reviewing the response of operator personnel to determine the effectiveness of the procedures controlling abnormal operation and taking corrective action where deficiencies are found?	Not Checked
[192.603(b)][192.619,192.621,192.623]	Is the operator maintaining documentation verifying their Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure(s)? (MAOP)	Not Checked
CONTINUING	SURVEILLANCE RECORDS	Status
[192.709(c)][192.613(a)]	Has the operator reviewed continuing surveillance records for class location changes, failures, leak history, corrosion, changes in cathodic protection, and other unusual operating and maintenance conditions?	Not Checked
General Comment:	1:	
Staff did not review the continuing surveillance records b	ecause these records are kept at the Pawnee operating center.	
CLASS	LOCATION CHANGE	Status
[192.709(c)][192.609]	Does the operator maintain documentation when the class location changes for a segment of pipe operating at a hoop stress that is more than 40% SMYS?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		I
The operator's pipeline system does not operate at a hoo	op stress that is more than 40% SMYS.	
QUALIFICATIO	ON OF PIPELINE PERSONNEL	Status
Refer to operator Qualification Inspection For	ms and Protocols	Not Checked
General Comment:		L
Staff did not review the OQ records because these record	ds are kept at the Pawnee operating center.	
DAMAGE	PREVENTION RECORDS	Status
Category Comment:		
Staff did not review the Damage Prevention records beca	ause these records are kept at the Pawnee operating center.	
[192.709(c)][191.17(a)]	Did the operator track the number of damages per 1000 locate requests for the previous years?	Not Checked
Has the number of damages increased or dec	preased from prior year?	Not Checked
That the maniper of damages increased of dec	breased from prior year:	Not Checked

[192.709(c)][192.617]	Does the operator track records of accidents due to excavation damage to ensure causes of failures are addressed to minimize the recurrence?	Not Checked
[192.709(c)][192.614(c)(3)]	Does the operator provide documentation pertaining to notification of excavation, marking, positive response, and the availability and use of the one call system?	Not Checked
Does the operator have a Quality Assurance Program in place for monitoring the locating and marking of facilities?		Not Checked
Do pipeline operators include performance measures in facility locating contracts?		Not Checked
[IL ADM. CO.265.100(b)(1)]	Was third party damage to mains involving a release of gas reported to ICC JULIE Enforcement? http://www.icc.illinois.gov/julie/	Not Checked
Has the Operator adopted applicable section	n of the Common Ground Alliance Best Practices?	Not Checked
If no, were Common Ground Alliance Best F	Practices discussed with Operator?	Not Checked
EMERGENCY PLANS		
Category Comment:		
Staff did not review the emergency records because th	nese records are kept at the Pawnee operating center.	
[192.603(b)][192.615(b)(1)]	Are supervisors, responsible for emergency action, furnished copies of the latest edition of the Emergency Plan?	Not Checked
[192.603(b)][192.615(b)(2)]	Has the operator maintained documentation that the appropriate operating personnel have received training to	Not Charled
	assure they are knowledgeable of emergency procedures and that the training was effective?	Not Checked
[192.603(b)][192.615(b)(3)]		Not Checked
[192.603(b)][192.615(b)(3)] [192.603(b)][192.615(c)]	and that the training was effective?  Has the operator maintained documentation of employee activity reviews to determine whether the procedures were	
[192.603(b)][192.615(c)]	and that the training was effective?  Has the operator maintained documentation of employee activity reviews to determine whether the procedures were effectively followed in each emergency?  Has the operator maintained documentation that the operator established and maintained liaison with	Not Checked
	and that the training was effective?  Has the operator maintained documentation of employee activity reviews to determine whether the procedures were effectively followed in each emergency?  Has the operator maintained documentation that the operator established and maintained liaison with appropriate fire, police and other public officials?  Did the review of emergency response time intervals regarding odor/leak complaint documentation indicate	Not Checked

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

Category Comment:  Staff reviewed the operator's Monthly Odorization Reports for 2  [192.709(c)][192.625(f)]  [192.709(c)][192.625(e)]	Does the operator maintain documentation of a patrol program as required?  Does the operator maintain documentation of leakage survey(s) performed on a transmission pipeline?	Satisfactory Satisfactory Status Satisfactory Status
Staff reviewed the operator's Monthly Odorization Reports for 2  [192.709(c)][192.625(f)]  PATROLLING  Category Comment:  Staff reviewed the operator's pipeline leak surveys and patrol is  [192.709(c)][192.705]  [192.709(c)][192.706]  ABANDONMENT or DEACTIVE	Where required, has the operator maintained documentation of odorant concentration level testing?  Where required, has the operator maintained documentation of odorizer tank levels?  & LEAKAGE SURVEY  inspection documents for 2013 and 2014.  Does the operator maintain documentation of a patrol program as required?  Does the operator maintain documentation of leakage survey(s) performed on a transmission pipeline?	Satisfactory Satisfactory Status Satisfactory
[192.709(c)][192.625(f)]  PATROLLING  Category Comment:  Staff reviewed the operator's pipeline leak surveys and patrol is  [192.709(c)][192.705]  [192.709(c)][192.706]  ABANDONMENT or DEACTIV	Where required, has the operator maintained documentation of odorant concentration level testing?  Where required, has the operator maintained documentation of odorizer tank levels?  & LEAKAGE SURVEY  inspection documents for 2013 and 2014.  Does the operator maintain documentation of a patrol program as required?  Does the operator maintain documentation of leakage survey(s) performed on a transmission pipeline?	Satisfactory Satisfactory Status Satisfactory
[192.709(c)][192.625(e)]  PATROLLING  Category Comment: Staff reviewed the operator's pipeline leak surveys and patrol is [192.709(c)][192.705] [192.709(c)][192.706]  ABANDONMENT or DEACTIV	documentation of odorant concentration level testing?  Where required, has the operator maintained documentation of odorizer tank levels?  & LEAKAGE SURVEY  inspection documents for 2013 and 2014.  Does the operator maintain documentation of a patrol program as required?  Does the operator maintain documentation of leakage survey(s) performed on a transmission pipeline?	Satisfactory Status Satisfactory
PATROLLING  Category Comment:  Staff reviewed the operator's pipeline leak surveys and patrol is  [192.709(c)][192.705]  [192.709(c)][192.706]  ABANDONMENT or DEACTIVE	documentation of odorizer tank levels?  & LEAKAGE SURVEY  inspection documents for 2013 and 2014.  Does the operator maintain documentation of a patrol program as required?  Does the operator maintain documentation of leakage survey(s) performed on a transmission pipeline?	Status
Category Comment: Staff reviewed the operator's pipeline leak surveys and patrol is [192.709(c)][192.705] [192.709(c)][192.706]  ABANDONMENT or DEACTIVE	Does the operator maintain documentation of a patrol program as required?  Does the operator maintain documentation of leakage survey(s) performed on a transmission pipeline?	Satisfactory
Staff reviewed the operator's pipeline leak surveys and patrol is [192.709(c)][192.705] [192.709(c)][192.706]  ABANDONMENT or DEACTIVE	Does the operator maintain documentation of a patrol program as required?  Does the operator maintain documentation of leakage survey(s) performed on a transmission pipeline?	-
[192.709(c)][192.706]  ABANDONMENT or DEACTIV	program as required?  Does the operator maintain documentation of leakage survey(s) performed on a transmission pipeline?	-
ABANDONMENT or DEACTIV	survey(s) performed on a transmission pipeline?	Satisfactory
	/ATION of FACILITIES PROCEDURES	
Category Comment:	ATION OF FACILITIES FROGEDORES	Status
The operator did not abandon or deactivate any facilities in 20	13 or 2014.	
[192.603(b)][192.727(b)]	Did the operator maintain documentation demonstrating that each pipeline abandoned in place was disconnected from all sources and supplies of gas, and purged of gas?	Not Applicable
[192.603(b)][192.727(c)]	Did the operator maintain documentation demonstrating that each inactive pipeline that is not being maintained under this part was disconnected from all sources and supplies of gas; purged of gas?	Not Applicable
[192.603(b)][192.727(e)]	Did the operator maintain documentation when air was used for purging that a combustible mixture was not present after purging?	Not Applicable
[192.727(g)][192.727(g)]	Did the operator maintain documentation for each abandoned onshore pipeline facility that crosses over, under or through a commercially navigable waterway?	Not Applicable
COMPRI	ESSOR STATION	Status

AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY/3-10-2015

Page 6 of 12

[192.709(c)][192.731(a)]	Has the operator maintained documentation of the compressor station relief devices at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months?	Not Applicable
[192.709(c)][192.731(c)]	Has the operator maintained documentation compressor station emergency shutdown at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months?	Not Applicable
[192.709(c)][192.736(c)]	Has the operator maintained documentation of the compressor stations – detection and alarms?	Not Applicable
PRESSURE LIMIT	TING AND REGULATION	Status
Category Comment:		
The operator's system does not contain any pressure limiting of	regulation stations.	
[192.709(c)][192.739(a)]	Is the operator inspecting and testing the pressure limiting and regulating stations at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months?	Not Applicable
[192.709(c)][192.743(a)]	Is the operator inspecting pressure limiting and regulating stations for adequate capacity at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months?	Not Applicable
[192.709(c)][192.743(b)]	If the operator used calculations to determine sufficient capacity, were the calculation reviews documented at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months?	Not Applicable
[192.709(c)][192.743(a),192.743(b),192.195(b)(2)]	Is overpressure protection provided by the supplier pipeline downstream of the take point?	Not Applicable
[192.709(c)][192.743(a)]	If Yes, does the operator have documentation to verify that these devices have adequate capacity?	Not Applicable
VALVE I	MAINTENANCE	Status
[192.709(c)][192.745(a),192.745(b)]	Did the operator inspect and partially operate transmission valves that might be required during any emergency at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed the operator's valve location and inspection reco	rds for 2013 and 2014.	
[192.709(c)][192.749]	Did the operator inspect and maintain vaults > 200 cubic feet at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator's system does not contain vaults.		
[192.709(c)][192.179]	Are transmission line valves being installed as required of 192.179?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed the operator's valve location and inspection reco	rds for 2013 and 2014.	

Status   S	[192.709(b)][192.745(b)]	Did the operator take prompt remedial action to correct any valve found inoperable, unless an alternative valve was designated?	Satisfactory
Investigation Of Failures  [192.709(c)][192.617]  Did the operator experience accidents or failures requiring analysis?  Did the operator experience accidents or failures requiring analysis?  Not Applicable  General Comment:  The operator did not experience any failures that required analysis in 2013 or 2014.  WELDING OF STEEL PIPE  Status  [192.603(b)][192.225(b)]  Does the operator have documentation for their qualified welding procedure?  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the documentation for the qualified welding procedures in the operator's 0&M.  [192.603(b)][192.227,192.229]  Does the operator have documentation of welder qualification documentation as required?  Satisfactory  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the KS Energy welder's qualifications for the Eden Ultra-sonic Meter Installation project constructed in 2013. Staff also reviewed Ameren's personnel welding qualifications for 2014.  [192.807]  Does the operator have documentation of welder OQ  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the KS Energy welder's qualifications for the Eden Ultra-sonic Meter Installation project constructed in 2013. Staff also reviewed Ameren's personnel welding qualifications for 2014.  [192.807]  Does the operator have documentation of NDT personnel qualification as required?  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the JanX's operator qualifications and employee certifications. Staff also examined randomly selected radiographs from film numbers X1-X20 and 71-72. JanX was the rondestructive testing contractor in 2013.  Does the operator have documentation of NDT testing performed?  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the JanX's operator qualifications and employee certifications. Staff also examined randomly selected radiographs from film numbers X1-X20 and 71-72. JanX was the rondestructive testing contractor in 2013.  CORROSION CONTROL RECORDS  Status	General Comment:	·	•
[192.709(c)][192.617] Did the operator experience accidents or failures requiring analysis?    Did the operator experience accidents or failures requiring analysis?	Staff reviewed the operator's valve location and	inspection records for 2013 and 2014.	
Analysis?  Analysis?  Analysis?  Mot Applicable  General Comment:  The operator did not experience any failures that required analysis in 2013 or 2014.  WELDING OF STEEL PIPE  Status  [192.603(b)][192.225(b)]  Does the operator have documentation for their qualified welding procedure?  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the documentation for the qualified welding procedures in the operator's O&M.  [192.603(b)][192.227,192.229]  Does the operator have documentation of welder qualifications for the Eden Ultra-sonic Meter Installation project constructed in 2013. Staff also reviewed Ameren's personnel welding qualifications for 2014.  [192.807]  Does the operator have documentation of welder OQ records?  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the KS Energy welder's qualifications for the Eden Ultra-sonic Meter Installation project constructed in 2013. Staff also reviewed Ameren's personnel welding qualifications for 2014.  [192.807]  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the KS Energy welder's qualifications for the Eden Ultra-sonic Meter Installation project constructed in 2013. Staff also reviewed Ameren's personnel welding qualifications for 2014.  [192.709][192.243(b)(2)]  Does the operator have documentation of NDT personnel qualifications for 2014.  [192.709][192.243(b)(2)]  Does the operator have documentation of NDT personnel qualifications and employee certifications. Staff also examined randomly selected radiographs from film numbers X1-X20 and T1-T2. Jan's was the nondestructive testing contractor in 2013.  [192.709][192.243(f)]  Does the operator have documentation of NDT testing performed?  Satisfactory  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the Jan's operator qualifications and employee certifications. Staff also examined randomly selected radiographs from film numbers X1-X20 and T1-T2. Jan's was the nondestructive testing contractor in 2013.		Investigation Of Failures	Status
The operator did not experience any failures that required analysis in 2013 or 2014.    WELDING OF STEEL PIPE   Status	[192.709(c)][192.617]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Not Applicable
Status	General Comment:		
[192.603(b)][192.225(b)]  Does the operator have documentation for their qualified welding procedure?  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the documentation for the qualified welding procedures in the operator's 0&M.  [192.603(b)][192.227,192.229]  Does the operator have documentation of welder qualification documentation as required?  Satisfactory  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the KS Energy welder's qualifications for the Eden Ultra-sonic Meter Installation project constructed in 2013. Staff also reviewed Ameren's personnel welding qualifications for 2014.  [192.807]  Does the operator have documentation of welder OQ records?  Satisfactory  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the KS Energy welder's qualifications for the Eden Ultra-sonic Meter Installation project constructed in 2013. Staff also reviewed Ameren's personnel welding qualifications for 2014.  [192.709][192.243(b)(2)]  Does the operator have documentation of NDT personnel qualifications as required?  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the Jan's operator qualifications and employee certifications. Staff also examined randomly selected radiographs from film numbers X1-X20 and T1-T2. Jan's was the nondestructive testing contractor in 2013.  Does the operator have documentation of NDT testing performed?  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the Jan's operator qualifications and employee certifications. Staff also examined randomly selected radiographs from film numbers X1-X20 and T1-T2. Jan's was the nondestructive testing contractor in 2013.  CORROSION CONTROL RECORDS  Status	The operator did not experience any failures that	at required analysis in 2013 or 2014.	
Welding procedure?   Satisfactory		WELDING OF STEEL PIPE	Status
Staff reviewed the documentation for the qualified welding procedures in the operator's O&M.  [192.603(b)][192.227,192.229]  Does the operator have documentation of welder qualifications for documentation as required?  Satisfactory  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the KS Energy welder's qualifications for the Eden Ultra-sonic Meter Installation project constructed in 2013. Staff also reviewed Ameren's personnel welding qualifications for 2014.  [192.807]  Does the operator have documentation of welder OQ records?  Satisfactory  Seneral Comment:  Staff reviewed the KS Energy welder's qualifications for the Eden Ultra-sonic Meter Installation project constructed in 2013. Staff also reviewed Ameren's personnel welding qualifications for 2014.  [192.709][192.243(b)(2)]  Does the operator have documentation of NDT personnel qualifications for 2014.  [192.709][192.243(b)(2)]  Does the operator have documentation of NDT personnel qualifications are required?  Satisfactory  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the JanX's operator qualifications and employee certifications. Staff also examined randomly selected radiographs from film numbers X1-X20 and T1-T2. JanX was the nondestructive testing contractor in 2013.  Does the operator have documentation of NDT testing performed?  Satisfactory  Satisfactory  Satisfactory  Satisfactory  Satisfactory  Satisfactory  Satisfactory  Correct Comment:  Staff reviewed the JanX's operator qualifications and employee certifications. Staff also examined randomly selected radiographs from film numbers X1-X20 and T1-T2. JanX was the nondestructive testing contractor in 2013.  CORROSION CONTROL RECORDS  Status	[192.603(b)][192.225(b)]		Satisfactory
[192.603(b)][192.227,192.229]  Does the operator have documentation of welder qualifications for unalification documentation as required?  Satisfactory  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the KS Energy welder's qualifications for the Eden Ultra-sonic Meter Installation project constructed in 2013. Staff also reviewed Ameren's personnel welding qualifications for 2014.  [192.807]  Does the operator have documentation of welder OQ records?  Staff reviewed the KS Energy welder's qualifications for the Eden Ultra-sonic Meter Installation project constructed in 2013. Staff also reviewed Ameren's personnel welding qualifications for 2014.  [192.709][192.243(b)(2)]  Does the operator have documentation of NDT personnel qualifications and employee certifications. Staff also examined randomly selected radiographs from film numbers X1-X20 and X1-X21 and X1-X22 and X1-X23 and X1-X	General Comment:		
Qualification documentation as required?   Satisfactory	Staff reviewed the documentation for the qualific	ed welding procedures in the operator's O&M.	
Staff reviewed the KS Energy welder's qualifications for the Eden Ultra-sonic Meter Installation project constructed in 2013. Staff also reviewed Ameren's personnel welding qualifications for 2014.  [192.807] Does the operator have documentation of welder OQ records?  Staff reviewed the KS Energy welder's qualifications for the Eden Ultra-sonic Meter Installation project constructed in 2013. Staff also reviewed Ameren's personnel welding qualifications for 2014.  [192.709][192.243(b)(2)] Does the operator have documentation of NDT personnel qualifications are required?  Staff reviewed the Janx's operator qualifications and employee certifications. Staff also examined randomly selected radiographs from film numbers X1-X20 and T1-T2. Janx was the nondestructive testing contractor in 2013.  [192.709][192.243(f)] Does the operator have documentation of NDT testing performed?  Satisfactory  Satisfactory  Satisfactory  Ceneral Comment:  Staff reviewed the Janx's operator qualifications and employee certifications. Staff also examined randomly selected radiographs from film numbers X1-X20 and T1-T2. Janx was the nondestructive testing contractor in 2013.  CORROSION CONTROL RECORDS  Status	[192.603(b)][192.227,192.229]		Satisfactory
[192.807] Does the operator have documentation of welder OQ records?  Satisfactory  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the KS Energy welder's qualifications for the Eden Ultra-sonic Meter Installation project constructed in 2013. Staff also reviewed Ameren's personnel welding qualifications for 2014.  [192.709][192.243(b)(2)] Does the operator have documentation of NDT personnel qualification as required?  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the JanX's operator qualifications and employee certifications. Staff also examined randomly selected radiographs from film numbers X1-X20 and T1-T2. JanX was the nondestructive testing contractor in 2013.  [192.709][192.243(f)] Does the operator have documentation of NDT testing performed?  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the JanX's operator qualifications and employee certifications. Staff also examined randomly selected radiographs from film numbers X1-X20 and T1-T2. JanX was the nondestructive testing contractor in 2013.  CORROSION CONTROL RECORDS  Status	General Comment:	•	
General Comment:  Staff reviewed the KS Energy welder's qualifications for the Eden Ultra-sonic Meter Installation project constructed in 2013. Staff also reviewed Ameren's personnel welding qualifications for 2014.  [192.709][192.243(b)(2)]  Does the operator have documentation of NDT personnel qualifications and employee certifications. Staff also examined randomly selected radiographs from film numbers X1-X20 and T1-T2. JanX was the nondestructive testing contractor in 2013.  [192.709][192.243(f)]  Does the operator have documentation of NDT testing performed?  Satisfactory  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the JanX's operator qualifications and employee certifications. Staff also examined randomly selected radiographs from film numbers X1-X20 and T1-T2. JanX was the nondestructive testing contractor in 2013.  CORROSION CONTROL RECORDS  Status		tions for the Eden Ultra-sonic Meter Installation project constructed in 2013. Staff also revie	wed Ameren's
Staff reviewed the KS Energy welder's qualifications for the Eden Ultra-sonic Meter Installation project constructed in 2013. Staff also reviewed Ameren's personnel welding qualifications for 2014.  [192.709][192.243(b)(2)]  Does the operator have documentation of NDT personnel qualifications as required?  Staff reviewed the Janx's operator qualifications and employee certifications. Staff also examined randomly selected radiographs from film numbers X1-X20 and T1-T2. Janx was the nondestructive testing contractor in 2013.  [192.709][192.243(f)]  Does the operator have documentation of NDT testing performed?  Satisfactory  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the Janx's operator qualifications and employee certifications. Staff also examined randomly selected radiographs from film numbers X1-X20 and T1-T2. Janx was the nondestructive testing contractor in 2013.  CORROSION CONTROL RECORDS  Status	[192.807]		Satisfactory
[192.709][192.243(b)(2)]  Does the operator have documentation of NDT personnel qualification as required?  Satisfactory  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the JanX's operator qualifications and employee certifications. Staff also examined randomly selected radiographs from film numbers X1-X20 and T1-T2. JanX was the nondestructive testing contractor in 2013.  [192.709][192.243(f)]  Does the operator have documentation of NDT testing performed?  Satisfactory  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the JanX's operator qualifications and employee certifications. Staff also examined randomly selected radiographs from film numbers X1-X20 and T1-T2. JanX was the nondestructive testing contractor in 2013.  CORROSION CONTROL RECORDS  Status	General Comment:		
qualification as required?  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the JanX's operator qualifications and employee certifications. Staff also examined randomly selected radiographs from film numbers X1-X20 and T1-T2. JanX was the nondestructive testing contractor in 2013.  [192.709][192.243(f)]  Does the operator have documentation of NDT testing performed?  Satisfactory  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the JanX's operator qualifications and employee certifications. Staff also examined randomly selected radiographs from film numbers X1-X20 and T1-T2. JanX was the nondestructive testing contractor in 2013.  CORROSION CONTROL RECORDS  Status		tions for the Eden Ultra-sonic Meter Installation project constructed in 2013. Staff also revie	wed Ameren's
Staff reviewed the JanX's operator qualifications and employee certifications. Staff also examined randomly selected radiographs from film numbers X1-X20 and T1-T2. JanX was the nondestructive testing contractor in 2013.  [192.709][192.243(f)]  Does the operator have documentation of NDT testing performed?  Staff reviewed the JanX's operator qualifications and employee certifications. Staff also examined randomly selected radiographs from film numbers X1-X20 and T1-T2. JanX was the nondestructive testing contractor in 2013.  CORROSION CONTROL RECORDS  Status	[192.709][192.243(b)(2)]		Satisfactory
[192.709][192.243(f)]  Does the operator have documentation of NDT testing performed?  Satisfactory  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the JanX's operator qualifications and employee certifications. Staff also examined randomly selected radiographs from film numbers X1-X20 and T1-T2. JanX was the nondestructive testing contractor in 2013.  CORROSION CONTROL RECORDS  Status	General Comment:	•	
performed?  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the JanX's operator qualifications and employee certifications. Staff also examined randomly selected radiographs from film numbers X1-X20 and T1-T2. JanX was the nondestructive testing contractor in 2013.  CORROSION CONTROL RECORDS  Status	Staff reviewed the JanX's operator qualifications T1-T2. JanX was the nondestructive testing con	s and employee certifications. Staff also examined randomly selected radiographs from film stractor in 2013.	n numbers X1-X20 and
Staff reviewed the JanX's operator qualifications and employee certifications. Staff also examined randomly selected radiographs from film numbers X1-X20 and T1-T2. JanX was the nondestructive testing contractor in 2013.  CORROSION CONTROL RECORDS  Status	[192.709][192.243(f)]		Satisfactory
T1-T2. JanX was the nondestructive testing contractor in 2013.  CORROSION CONTROL RECORDS  Status	General Comment:	·	
			n numbers X1-X20 and
[192.491(a)][192.491(a)] Has the operator maintained maps or records of Satisfactory	COR	ROSION CONTROL RECORDS	Status
	[192.491(a)][192.491(a)]	Has the operator maintained maps or records of	Satisfactory

	cathodically protected piping, cathodic protection facilities, galvanic anodes, and neighboring structures bonded to the cathodic protection system	
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed the operator's construction maps and records of	f the Eden Storage Field.	
[192.491][192.459]	Has the operator maintained documentation of examination when buried pipe was exposed?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed the examination of exposed pipe documentation	n in 2013 when the Eden Ultra-sonic Meter was installed.	
[192.491][192.465(a)]	Has the operator maintained documentation of annual pipe-to-soil monitoring performed at a minimum of 1 per yr/15 months and/or isolated services or short sections of main less than 100 feet at a minimum of 10% annually?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed the pipe to soil readings in the operator's Corro	sion Tracking System Database and compared them to the Corrosion Tech's	Read Sheets.
[192.491][192.465(b)]	Has the operator maintained documentation of rectifier or other impressed current power sources inspections at a minimum of 6 per year/ 2 1/2 months?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed the 2013 and 2014 rectifier inspection records.		
[192.491][192.465(c)]	Has the operator maintained documentation of each critical interference bond, reverse current switch, diode, etc. inspections at a minimum of 6 per year/ 2 1/2 months and/or non-critical interference bond inspections at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed the operator's rectifier inspections and non-criti-	cal interference bond inspections for 2013 and 2014.	
[192.491][192.465(d)]	Has the operator taken prompt remedial actions to correct any deficiencies indicated by the monitoring?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed the operator's rectifier inspections and non-criti-	cal interference bond inspections for 2013 and 2014.	
[192.491][192.465(e)]	Has the operator maintained documentation of unprotected pipeline surveys, inspections, or tests at a minimum of 3 years/39 months?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator's system does not contain unprotected pipelines	<u> </u>	
[192.491][192.467(a),192.467(c),192.467(d)]	Has the operator maintained documentation of inspections	Satisfactory

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

Staff reviewed the operator's inspections for the two casing in the Corrosion Tracking System Database for 2013 and 2014.   192.491  192.469  Does the operator have a sufficient number of test stations or other contact points for electrical measurement to determine the adequacy of cathodic protections or other contact points for electrical measurement to determine the adequacy of cathodic protections.   192.491  192.471  Has the operator maintained documentation of corrocitive actions taken when a test lead is no longer electrically conductive?   192.491  192.473   Has the operator maintained documentation of corrective actions taken when a test lead is no longer electrically conductive?   192.491  192.473(b)  Has the operator maintained documentation of inspections or tests to assure their cathodic protection system is not affecting adjacent underground metallic structures?   192.491  192.473(b)  Has the operator maintained documentation of inspections or tests to assure their cathodic protection system is not affecting adjacent underground metallic structures?   192.491  192.475(a)  Has the operator maintained documentation of investigations or steps taken to minimize internal corrosion due to transportation of corrosive gas?   192.491  192.475(b)  Has the operator maintained documentation of investigations or steps taken to minimize internal corrosion due to transportation of corrosive gas?   192.491  192.475(b)  Has the operator maintained documentation of internal surface inspections performed when pipe is removed for any reason?   192.491  192.475(b)  Has the operator maintained documentation of written procedures supported by as-built drawings or other construction records?   192.491  192.475(b)  Has the operator maintained documentation of written procedures supported by as-built drawings or other construction records?   192.491  192.477  Has the operator maintained documentation of internal corrosion coupon monitoring at a minimum of 2 per year/ 7 (action) and the procedures supported by as-built dra		or tests for electrical isolation including casings?	
Staff reviewed the operator's inspections for the two casing in the Cornosion Tracking System Database for 2013 and 2014.   192.491   192.469   Does the operator have a sufficient number of test stations or other contact points for electrical measurement to determine the adequacy of cathodic protection?   Staff reviewed the pipe to soil readings in the operator's Cornosion Tracking System Database and compared them to the Cornosion Tech's Read Sheets.   192.491   Has the operator maintained documentation of corrective actions taken when a test lead is no longer electrically conductive?   Satisfactory conductive?   Satisfactory conductive?   Satisfactory conductive?	General Comment	or toole for electrical legication metalling eachinger	
Or other contact points for electrical measurement to determine the adequacy of cathodic protection?		two casing in the Corrosion Tracking System Database for 2013 and 2014.	
Has the operator maintained documentation of corrective actions taken when a test lead is no longer electrically conductive?    Satisfactory conductive?   Satisfactory conductive?	[192.491][192.469]	or other contact points for electrical measurement to	Satisfactory
Has the operator maintained documentation of corrective actions taken when a test lead is no longer electrically conductive?  Satisfactory  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the pipe to soil readings in the operator's Corrosion Tracking System Database and compared them to the Corrosion Tech's Read Sheets.  Has the operator maintained documentation of inspections or tests to assure their cathodic protection system is not affecting adjacent underground metallic structures?  General Comment:  The operator's system does not contain adjacent underground metallic structures that could be affected by the cathodic protection system.  Has the operator maintained documentation of investigations or steps taken to minimize internal corrosion due to transportation of corrosive gas?  Not Applicable  General Comment:  The operator does not carry corrosive gas.  Has the operator maintained documentation of internal surface inspections performed when pipe is removed for any reason?  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the Pipe Examination forms when pipe was removed in 2013 for the Eden Ultra-sonic Meter Installation Project.  Has the operator maintained documentation of written procedures supported by as-built drawings or other construction records?  Satisfactory  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the construction documentation in 2013 when the Eden Ultra-sonic Meter was installed.  Has the operator maintained documentation of internal corrosion coupon monitoring at a minimum of 2 per year/ 7 and internal corrosion coupon monitoring at a minimum of 2 per year/ 7 and internal corrosion coupon monitoring at a minimum of 2 per year/ 7 and internal corrosion coupon monitoring at a minimum of 2 per year/ 7 and internal corrosion coupon monitoring at a minimum of 2 per year/ 7 and internal corrosion coupon monitoring at a minimum of 2 per year/ 7 and internal corrosion coupon monitoring at a minimum of 2 per year/ 7 and internal corrosion coupon monitoring at a minimum of 2 per year/ 7 and internal corrosion coupon monitoring at a minim	General Comment:		
actions taken when a test lead is no longer electrically conductive?  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the pipe to soil readings in the operator's Corrosion Tracking System Database and compared them to the Corrosion Tech's Read Sheets.  Has the operator maintained documentation of inspections or tests to assure their cathodic protection system is not affecting adjacent underground metallic structures?  General Comment:  The operator's system does not contain adjacent underground metallic structures that could be affected by the cathodic protection system.  Has the operator maintained documentation of investigations or steps taken to minimize internal corrosion due to transportation of corrosive gas?  General Comment:  The operator does not carry corrosive gas.  Has the operator maintained documentation of internal surface inspections performed when pipe is removed for any reason?  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the Pipe Examination forms when pipe was removed in 2013 for the Eden Ultra-sonic Meter Installation Project.  Has the operator maintained documentation of written procedures supported by as-built drawings or other construction records?  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the construction documentation in 2013 when the Eden Ultra-sonic Meter was installed.  Has the operator maintained documentation of internal corrosion coupon monitoring at a minimum of 2 per year/7 anoths?  Satisfactory  Satisfactory  Satisfactory	Staff reviewed the pipe to soil readings in the op	erator's Corrosion Tracking System Database and compared them to the Corrosion Tech's	Read Sheets.
Staff reviewed the pipe to soil readings in the operator's Corrosion Tracking System Database and compared them to the Corrosion Tech's Read Sheets.    192.491 [192.473(b)]	[192.491][192.471]	actions taken when a test lead is no longer electrically	Satisfactory
Has the operator maintained documentation of inspections or tests to assure their cathodic protection system is not affecting adjacent underground metallic structures?    Has the operator's system does not contain adjacent underground metallic structures that could be affected by the cathodic protection system.	General Comment:	•	
or tests to assure their cathodic protection system is not affecting adjacent underground metallic structures?    General Comment:   The operator's system does not contain adjacent underground metallic structures that could be affected by the cathodic protection system.	Staff reviewed the pipe to soil readings in the op	erator's Corrosion Tracking System Database and compared them to the Corrosion Tech's	Read Sheets.
The operator's system does not contain adjacent underground metallic structures that could be affected by the cathodic protection system.  [192.491][192.475(a)]	[192.491][192.473(b)]	or tests to assure their cathodic protection system is not	Not Applicable
Has the operator maintained documentation of investigations or steps taken to minimize internal corrosion due to transportation of corrosive gas?    The operator does not carry corrosive gas.	General Comment:		
investigations or steps taken to minimize internal corrosion due to transportation of corrosive gas?  General Comment:  The operator does not carry corrosive gas.  [192.491][192.475(b)]  Has the operator maintained documentation of internal surface inspections performed when pipe is removed for any reason?  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the Pipe Examination forms when pipe was removed in 2013 for the Eden Ultra-sonic Meter Installation Project.  [192.491]  Has the operator maintained documentation of written procedures supported by as-built drawings or other construction records?  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the construction documentation in 2013 when the Eden Ultra-sonic Meter was installed.  [192.491][192.477]  Has the operator maintained documentation of internal corrosion coupon monitoring at a minimum of 2 per year/ 7 1/2 months?  Satisfactory	The operator's system does not contain adjacen	t underground metallic structures that could be affected by the cathodic protection system.	
General Comment: The operator does not carry corrosive gas.  [192.491][192.475(b)]	[192.491][192.475(a)]	investigations or steps taken to minimize internal corrosion	Not Applicable
Has the operator maintained documentation of internal surface inspections performed when pipe is removed for any reason?    Statisfactory   Satisfactory	General Comment:		
surface inspections performed when pipe is removed for any reason?  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the Pipe Examination forms when pipe was removed in 2013 for the Eden Ultra-sonic Meter Installation Project.  Has the operator maintained documentation of written procedures supported by as-built drawings or other construction records?  General Comment:  Staff reviewed the construction documentation in 2013 when the Eden Ultra-sonic Meter was installed.  Has the operator maintained documentation of internal corrosion coupon monitoring at a minimum of 2 per year/ 7 1/2 months?  Satisfactory	The operator does not carry corrosive gas.		
Staff reviewed the Pipe Examination forms when pipe was removed in 2013 for the Eden Ultra-sonic Meter Installation Project.    Has the operator maintained documentation of written procedures supported by as-built drawings or other construction records?    Staff reviewed the construction documentation in 2013 when the Eden Ultra-sonic Meter was installed.    Has the operator maintained documentation of internal corrosion coupon monitoring at a minimum of 2 per year/ 7 1/2 months?    Staff actory   Satisfactory   Satisfactor	[192.491][192.475(b)]	surface inspections performed when pipe is removed for	Satisfactory
Has the operator maintained documentation of written procedures supported by as-built drawings or other construction records?  Staff reviewed the construction documentation in 2013 when the Eden Ultra-sonic Meter was installed.  Has the operator maintained documentation of internal corrosion coupon monitoring at a minimum of 2 per year/ 7 1/2 months?  Satisfactory	General Comment:	·	
procedures supported by as-built drawings or other construction records?  Staff reviewed the construction documentation in 2013 when the Eden Ultra-sonic Meter was installed.  [192.491][192.477]  Has the operator maintained documentation of internal corrosion coupon monitoring at a minimum of 2 per year/ 7 1/2 months?  Satisfactory	Staff reviewed the Pipe Examination forms when	pipe was removed in 2013 for the Eden Ultra-sonic Meter Installation Project.	
Staff reviewed the construction documentation in 2013 when the Eden Ultra-sonic Meter was installed.  [192.491][192.477]	[192.491]	procedures supported by as-built drawings or other	Satisfactory
[192.491][192.477] Has the operator maintained documentation of internal corrosion coupon monitoring at a minimum of 2 per year/ 7 1/2 months?	General Comment:	·	
corrosion coupon monitoring at a minimum of 2 per year/ 7 1/2 months?  Satisfactory	Staff reviewed the construction documentation in	n 2013 when the Eden Ultra-sonic Meter was installed.	
General Comment:	[192.491][192.477]	corrosion coupon monitoring at a minimum of 2 per year/ 7	Satisfactory
	General Comment:		

AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY/3-10-2015

Page 10 of 12

Staff reviewed the operator's coupon records for the field sid	le of the dehydration station for 2013 and 2014.	
[192.491][192.479]	Has the operator maintained documentation of corrective action where atmospheric corrosion was discovered?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed the Pipeline Patrol forms for 2013 and 2014 w	which did not discover any atmospheric corrosion.	
[192.491][192.481]	Has the operator maintained documentation of atmospheric corrosion control monitoring at a minimum of 1 per 3 years/ 39 months?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed the Pipeline Patrol forms for 2013 and 2014.		
[192.491][192.483(a),192.483(b),192.483(c)]	Has the operator maintained documentation demonstrating that pipe removed due to external corrosion has been repaired or replaced with pipe that was coated and cathodically protected?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	•	
The operator did not have to remove any pipe due to externa	al corrosion in 2013 and 2014.	
TRAINING - 83 IL ADM. CODE 520		Status
Category Comment:		
Staff did not review the training records because these recor	rds are kept at the Pawnee operating center.	
[520.10(a) (1)]	Has the operator maintained documentation demonstrating that personnel have received adequate training?	Not Checked
[520.10(a) (2)]	Do training records include verbal instruction and/or on the job training for each job classification?	Not Checked
[520.10(b)]	Has the municipal operator maintained documentation demonstrating that personnel have received adequate training?	Not Checked
[520.10(a)(5)]	Are procedures periodically updated to include new materials, new methods of operation and installation, and changes in general procedures?	Not Checked